

350 California Street 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94104-1435 tel 415 228 5400 fax 415 228 5450 www.bcltlaw.com

July 18, 2011

IR. APPEALS B

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Clerk of the Environmental Appeals Board U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1341 G Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005

Re: In the Matter of Brown & Bryant, Inc. Site

CERCLA Section 106(b) Petition No. 94-12

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed please find an original and five copies of the *Nineteenth Joint Status Report* submitted by Petitioners and EPA Region IX in the referenced action. If you would please "file-stamp" these pleadings, I have enclosed one extra copy to be returned to me in the self-addressed, stamped envelope.

If you have any questions, please call me at 415.228.5417. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Marc A. Zeppetello

MAZ/fmc Enclosures

BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL APPEALS BOARD 1 UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 2 3 WASHINGTON, D.C. 5 In the Matter of, 6 CERCLA Section 106(b) Petition No. 94-12 7 BROWN & BRYANT, INC. SITE 600 South Derby Road Arvin, California 8 9 **SOUTHERN PACIFIC** 10 TRANSPORTATION COMPANY 11 and 12 THE ATCHISON, TOPEKA & SANTA FE RAILWAY, 13 Petitioners. 14 15 16 NINETEENTH JOINT STATUS REPORT 17 Nancy J. Marvel Regional Counsel 18 Region IX 19 Joshua Wirtschafter 20 **Assistant Regional Counsel** U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 21 Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street 22 San Francisco, CA 94107 23 Telephone: (415) 972-3912 24 COUNSEL FOR REGION IX 25 26 27 28

| 1 |
|---------------------------------|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 2122 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 24 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |
| 28 |

| John F. Barg, Esq. |
|---|
| Marc A. Zeppetello, Esq. |
| Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp, LLF |
| 350 California Street, 22 nd Floor |
| San Francisco, CA 94104-1435 |
| Telephone: (415) 228-5400 |
| Facsimile: (415) 228-5450 |

Counsel for UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, as successor to SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY

and

Counsel for BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY, as successor to THE ATCHISON, TOPEKA & SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY

On April 15, 2004, the Environmental Appeals Board ("Board") issued an Order Continuing Stay Of Proceedings ("Order") for this CERCLA § 106(b) Petition. By that Order, the Board requested semi-annual status reports on the progress of the Brown & Bryant Arvin Superfund Site case in federal court. On May 16, 2011, EPA Region IX and Petitioners BNSF Railway Company and Union Pacific Railroad Company ("the Railroads") submitted their Eighteenth Joint Status Report; in that report, the parties noted that they are engaged in settlement discussions, jointly requested that the Board continue to stay this proceeding to allow them additional time to discuss settlement, and proposed to submit another status report in sixty days, or by July 18, 2011.

As previously reported, on May 4, 2009, the Supreme Court issued its decision in the United States' CERCLA cost recovery action relating to the Arvin site. In summary, the Supreme Court determined that the trial record provided a reasonable basis for the district court's conclusion that the Railroads are liable for only 9% of the harm caused by the contamination at the Arvin site, and, therefore, reversed the Ninth Circuit's ruling that the Railroads are subject to joint and several liability for all response costs arising out of the contamination at the Arvin site. The Supreme Court reversed the Ninth Circuit's judgment and remanded the case for further proceedings consistent with the Court's opinion. *Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Ry. Co. v. U.S.*, 129 S.Ct. 1870 (2009), 2009 WL 1174849 (U.S. May 24, 2009).

The Board's Order granted a stay of this proceeding until the federal court litigation "is resolved by entry of final judgment after appeal." Order at 5. Region IX and the Railroads agree that the Board could proceed to consider the remaining factual issues and resolve the Railroads' petition for reimbursement. However, Region IX and the Railroads are continuing to engage in settlement discussions. Those discussions raise complicated issues, and encompass both the response costs at issue in this administrative proceeding and the response costs incurred and to be incurred by Region IX subsequent to those costs proved at trial, which in the absence of a settlement would be at issue in a subsequent federal court action, in accordance with the declaratory judgment contained in the district court's judgment entered on September 10, 2003.

On December 14, 2010, Region IX provided updated information to the Railroads regarding the response costs Region IX claims to have incurred subsequent to those costs proved at trial, plus

2

1

5

6

4

7 8

9

11 12

10

14

13

15

16 17

18

DATED: July 18, 2011

DATED: July 18, 2011

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 interest. On January 6, 2011, after reviewing the updated cost information, the Railroads provided comments and questions to Region IX concerning the updated costs. Subsequently, counsel for the parties have participated in phone conversations and exchanged emails regarding the issues raised by the Railroads concerning Region IX's updated costs.

On May 13, 2011, Region IX provided a draft proposed administrative settlement agreement to the Railroads, for their review and comment. On June 6, 2011, the Railroads provided comments on and suggested revisions to the draft proposed agreement, and, on June 30, 2011, EPA provided a revised draft of the proposed agreement to the Railroads for further review.

The parties intend to have further discussions in the coming weeks regarding both the terms of the proposed administrative settlement agreement and amount of the claimed EPA response costs that would be resolved by the proposed settlement. Therefore, Region IX and the Railroads jointly request that the Board continue the stay in this matter for another sixty days to allow the parties additional time to discuss settlement. The parties will advise the Board if they are able to reach a settlement of the Railroads' petition, but in any case will submit another joint status report in sixty days or by no later than September 19, 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

NANCY J. MARVEL Regional Counsel

JOSHUA WIRTSCHAFTER

Assistant Regional Counsel

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Region IX

BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP

By:

MARC A. ZEPPETELLO

Counsel for BNSF Railway Company and Union Pacific Railroad Company

interest. On January 6, 2011, after reviewing the updated cost information, the Railroads provided

28

1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1 I certify that I served the foregoing Nineteenth Joint Status Report by Federal 2 Express to the following on July 18, 2011: 3 4 5 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Clerk of the Environmental Appeals Board 6 1341 G Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005 7 Fax No. (202) 233-0121 8 (Original and five copies) 9 and by first class U.S. mail to the following on July 18, 2011: 10 Joshua Wirtschafter **Assistant Regional Counsel** 11 Office of Regional Counsel 12 U.S. EPA Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street 13 San Francisco, CA 94105 Fax No. (415) 947-3570 14 15 16 Dated: July 18, 2011 17 Fran Chip 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

NINETEENTH JOINT STATUS REPORT

25

26

27

28